01 / THE PRACTICAL PROBLEM

# Before the next agent acts.

A retained source link helps explain a claim. A separate question remains: may this exact interpretation support this use now?

## One quotation. Three different questions.

An agent can quote a clause exactly and still omit an exception, change a trigger or rely on terms that have since been amended. Sentinel implements the reusable Evidence Passport profile described in Claimlatch, keeping source integrity, interpretation and authority separate.

01

### Integrity

Does the quotation match the cited source span, and can the recorded evidence be checked under the supplied trust? An intact record does not establish that the source itself is true.

02

### Meaning

Does the source support this exact interpretation, including its conditions and qualifications? Model-based meaning checks support review; agreement is not proof of correctness.

03

### Current-use authority

Is this interpretation permitted for this purpose now? The proposed use, permissions, later findings and applicable amendments can matter.

**The retained record and permission for the next use are separate.**

An earlier approval or a valid signature does not, by itself, establish current-use authority. Enforcing workflows must check the applicable state when the claim is used.

MCOS and Sentinel have separate checks and evidence. The evaluated KPA runs did not pass through Claimlatch admission and are not signed Evidence Passports. Integration must be specified for the intended workflow.

02 / A WORKED EXAMPLE

# An exact quotation can still be misread.

Illustrative clause: "Renewal requires written approval, except for an extension of up to 30 days." A reading that omits the exception can match the words and still misstate the condition.

01
**Hold**
A later supported finding pauses reuse

02
**Recheck**
Inspect the exact reading against its source

03
**Release**
Record a separate authorized release

In supported enforcing workflows, a hold pauses reuse of the affected reading while earlier signed history stays intact. A fresh supported check alone does not release the hold. A separate release is needed, and the consumer checks the applicable state before use.

RECORDED EVIDENCE / CUTOFF 24 OCTOBER 2026

**Nine planted errors flagged; one intended-correct reading unresolved.**

One live reviewer model examined nine frozen pairs: eighteen operator-authored readings. It flagged all nine planted errors and supported eight of nine intended-correct readings. One mismatch remained unadjudicated.

The frozen challenge is evidence about selected short cases, one model and one run per case. It is not an accuracy estimate. No independently adjudicated reference set or independent human validation establishes these readings as correct.

A separate assistant-operated live journey showed that a supported recheck did not release a hold; a separate confirmation did. Isolated consumer tests are distinct from live signed-in journeys. These results must not be combined into a universal success rate.

Underlying record: Claimlatch: Evidence Passports for a Management Consulting Operating System, October 2026, sections 6 and 8, and Appendix I.11. The clause above explains the mechanism; it is not presented as a case from the frozen challenge.

03 / CONTROL BOUNDARIES

# Keep the history. Check the next use.

Governance depends on the implemented path, its trust assumptions and the people authorized to make the relevant decisions.

01

### An offline export has a limit

A signed export can support integrity verification under supplied trust. It does not automatically receive later holds, and distributed copies cannot be recalled.

02

### A consumer must enforce the control

Holds and current-use decisions apply to supported enforcing consumers. Copying an answer into another system does not automatically carry live enforcement with it.

03

### Human authority must be designed

Assign review, approval and release permissions for the intended workflow. Many evaluated approvals and the live release were assistant-operated under owner delegation.

04

### A field deployment needs its own evidence

Evaluated content was synthetic and selected. Signature experiments used TEST trust. Field reliability, load, throughput, user benefit and broad security coverage were not established.

**Ask what will stop the next action.**

Which exact reading and purpose are controlled? Who can place or release a hold? How does the consumer obtain current state? What happens when the service is unavailable or the evidence changes?

Claimlatch describes both request-bound admission and reusable-reading profiles. This brief focuses on Sentinel's reusable-reading application. Neither profile should be treated as a universal control across MCOS or other agent systems.

**About this brief.** An informative explanation based on Claimlatch: Evidence Passports for a Management Consulting Operating System, October 2026. It is not a peer-reviewed publication or certification. Author: Sean Halverson, VuduVations. Technical discussion: vuduvations.io/contact.